## Lipoylating and biotinylating enzymes contain a homologous catalytic module

## PEDRO A. RECHE

DNAX Research Institute, Department of Molecular Biology, 901 California Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304-1104 (RECEIVED May 17, 2000; FINAL REVISION July 10, 2000; ACCEPTED July 24, 2000)

#### Abstract

Biotin and lipoic acid moieties are the covalently attached coenzyme cofactors of several multicomponent enzyme complexes that catalyze key metabolic reactions. Attachment of these moieties to the biotinyl- and lipoyl-dependent enzymes is post-translationally catalyzed by specific biotinylating and lipoylating protein enzymes. In *Escherichia coli*, two different enzymes, LpIA and LipB, catalyze independent pathways for the lipoylation of the relevant enzymes, whereas only one enzyme, the BirA protein, is responsible for all the biotinylation. Counterparts of the *E. coli* BirA, LpIA, and LipB enzymes have been previously identified in many organisms, but homology among the three families has never been reported. Computational analysis based on PSI-BLAST profiles and secondary structure predictions indicates, however, that lipoylating and biotinylating enzymes are evolutionarily related protein families containing a homologous catalytic module. Sequence conservation among the three families is very poor, but a single lysine residue is strictly conserved in all of them, which, according to the available X-ray crystal structure of the *E. coli* BirA protein, is expected to contribute to the binding of lipoic acid in the LpIA and LipB enzymes.

**Keywords:** biotinyl/lipoyl protein ligase; biotinylation/lipoylation; database searches; protein evolution; secondary structure; sequence space; structure prediction

Biotin and lipoic acid are the covalently bound cofactors of various multicomponent enzyme complexes that catalyze key metabolic reactions (Knowles, 1989; Perham, 1991). In these enzyme complexes, biotin and lipoic acid are attached via amide linkage through their carboxyl group and the  $\epsilon$ -amino group of a specific lysine residue of a protein module known respectively as the biotinyl and the lipoyl domain. Biotinyl and lipoyl domains are structurally homologous proteins, with the respective biotinyl- and lipoyllysine residue prominently displayed at a tight  $\beta$ -turn of the structure. Thereby the biotinyl and lipoyl moieties shuttle catalytic intermediates between the successive active sites of the relevant enzyme complex (Perham & Reche, 1998). Covalent attachment of biotin and lipoic acid to these enzyme complexes occurs posttranslationally, and it is mediated by biotinylating and lipoylating protein enzymes, which specifically recognize the biotinyl and lipoyl domains, ensuring their correct post-translational modification (Chapman-Smith & Cronan, 1999; Reche & Perham, 1999).

protein ligase (BPL), also known as biotin holoenzyme synthetase (Wood et al., 1980). This enzyme catalyzes the activation of biotin to biotinyl-AMP at the expense of ATP, and then transfers the biotinyl group to a specific lysine residue in the biotinyl domain (Fig. 1A). In Escherichia coli, biotinylation is catalyzed by the BirA protein, which also represses the transcription of the biotin operon, using the ligase reaction intermediate biotinyl-AMP as a co-repressor (Barker & Campbell, 1981; Cronan, 1989). The structure of E. coli BirA has been solved by X-ray crystallography (Wilson et al., 1992) and consists of three well-defined domains: DI, DII, and DIII (Fig. 2). DIII is at the C-terminus, comprises two three-stranded antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheets ordered in a SH3-like barrel fold and is of unknown function. DI occupies the N-terminus of BirA and encloses a DNA-binding helix-turn-helix motif. BirA binds to the biotin operon, repressing its transcription, via this domain (Buoncristiani et al., 1986; Wilson et al., 1992). Finally, the central domain DII, consisting of five  $\alpha$ -helices ( $\alpha 1-\alpha 5$ ) and a mixed  $\beta$ -sheet made up of seven strands ( $\beta 1 - \beta 7$ ), represents the BPL module of E. coli BirA and houses both the biotin and the ATP binding sites. The biotinyl moiety occupies a portion of the  $\beta$ -sheet face that is exposed to the solvent and makes contact with parts of three  $\beta$ -strands ( $\beta$ 3,  $\beta$ 6, and  $\beta$ 7), the N-terminus of helix  $\alpha 2$ , and main-chain atoms of several residues at the loop connecting the strands  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$  (see Fig. 2 for more comments). There is no direct crystallographic data for the ATP binding site,

Biotin is attached to its target proteins by the action of biotinyl

Reprint requests to: Pedro A. Reche, DNAX Research Institute, 901 California Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304-1104; e-mail: reche@dnax.org.

Abbreviations: aaRS, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase; ACP, acyl carrier protein; BPL, biotinyl protein ligase; LPL, lipoyl protein ligase (both LplA and LipB); LplA, lipoyl protein ligase A; LipB, lipoyl protein ligase B; LPT, lipoyl protein transferase; SS, secondary structure.



**Fig. 1.** BPL and LPL reactions. (**A**) BPL reaction; (**B**) LplA reaction; and (**C**) LipB reaction. BPL and LplA catalyze the modification of their relevant protein substrates via a two-step reaction mechanism: the relevant biotin or lipoic acid moieties are activated in a reaction that requires ATP (reactions A1 and B1) and then transferred to the biotinyl and lipoyl domains, respectively. In contrast, LipB uses lipoyl-acyl carrier protein (lipoyl-ACP) as the donor of the lipoyl group. In lipoyl-ACP, the lipoyl moiety is bound via thioester linkage to the 4'-phosphopantetheine prosthetic group of the ACP.

but several lines of evidence indicate that the ATP binds adjacent to biotin and also that residues at the loop connecting the  $\beta$ 2- and  $\beta$ 3-strands contribute to the binding of ATP (Wilson et al., 1992). A region of homology to the BPL module of *E. coli* BirA can be identified in all biotinylating enzymes, while DI and DIII are only retained in some bacterial counterparts of the *E. coli* enzyme (this work).

Lipoylation, though similar to biotinylation, is more complicated. In *E. coli*, two independent lipoyl protein ligases (LPLs) encoded by the *lplA* and *lipB* genes have been reported (Morris et al., 1995). The lipoylation reaction catalyzed by LplA (Fig. 1B) is analogous to that of the biotinylation reaction mediated by the BPL, with lipoyl-AMP as the intermediate donor of the lipoyl moiety (Morris et al., 1994, 1995). In contrast, LipB (Fig. 1C) uses the lipoyl-acyl carrier protein (lipoyl-ACP) as the donor of the lipoyl group (Jordan & Cronan, 1997). ACPs are small proteins (40–70 residues) covalently modified in a serine residue with 4'- phosphopantetheine, and the lipoyl moiety is bound by thioester linkage to the 4'-phosphopantetheine cofactor (Jordan & Cronan, 1997). In humans and oxen, the encoding genes for lipoyltransferase (LPT) enzymes have been reported (Fujiwara et al., 1997, 1999). LPTs share extensive amino acid sequence similarity with *E. coli* LplA (Fujiwara et al., 1997, 1999), catalyzing also the transfer of lipoyl groups from lipoyl-AMP to the relevant apoproteins. However, unlike *E. coli* LplA, LPTs seem unable to synthesize the lipoyl-AMP intermediate from lipoyl and MgATP (Fujiwara et al., 1994).

LipB and LplA counterparts of the *E. coli* enzymes have been mentioned in various sources, but very little is known about them. Thus, no three-dimensional (3D) structures are available for any of the LPLs, and no structure–function studies have been carried out. In addition, homology between LplAs and LipBs has never been reported, and neither has homology between either of them and BPLs. In this paper, we show that the LplA and LipB enzymes



**Fig. 2.** 3D structure of the *E. coli* BPL. Ribbon drawing of the X-ray crystal structure of the *E. coli* BirA protein (Wilson et al., 1992). Domains DI, DII, and DIII are identified, and SS elements of the BPL module (DII) are labeled. The loops connecting the SS elements  $\beta 2-\beta 3$ ,  $\beta 3-\alpha 3$ ,  $\beta 6-\beta 7$ , and  $\beta 7-\alpha 4$  do not appear in the structure because they were not visible in the electron density maps. Wilson et al. (1992) mentioned that the biotin binding site was located using byocitin (biotinyl–lysine) but it is free biotin that appears in the coordinates they deposited in the database (Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 1bia). Judging from the deposited structure, the lysine moiety of biocytin was not visible on the electron density maps—probably because it was highly mobile—and therefore the authors preferred to model a carboxyl group (–COO<sup>–</sup>) as in free biotin, instead of the amide linkage of biocytine (biotinyl-CO-NH-lysine).

contain a region with homology to the BPL module of biotinylating enzymes. This indicates that BPLs and LPLs (both LplAs and LipBs) are evolutionarily related protein families, with a homologous catalytic module that must have evolved from a common ancestor. Sequence conservation among the three families of protein ligases is poor, but a single lysine residue is retained in all sequences. Insights into evolutionary relationships among the three families will be discussed along with other implications derived from this unexpected relationship.

## Results

## Detection of homology between LPLs and BPLs

Homology between LplAs and LipBs (LPLs) has never been reported, and neither has homology between LPLs and BPLs, because their amino acid sequence similarities seem not to be significant. Surprisingly, in a search of the GeneBank nonredundant (NR) database using the program PSI-BLAST with the amino acid sequence of LPLA\_MYCPN (GeneBank GI:2498521), several LipB enzymes came up after the first iteration with statistically significant scores (see Materials and methods for the PSI-BLAST

settings). It was even more surprising to find that after the second iteration, the BPL of Sinorhizobium meliloti (GeneBank GI:6690793) was detected with a statistically significant e-value of 0.005. Searches initiated with LipB sequences also detected homology to BPLs, but more iterations were required. For example, a search initiated with LIPB\_RICPR (GeneBank GI:6225619) detected the BPL of Aquifex aeolicus (GeneBank GI:2983199) with an e-value of 0.005 after the fifth iteration. Additional iterative searches using the PSI-BLAST program were carried out with various LplA and LipB sequences as query to propagate the detected homology to all members of the LPL and BPL families. As a rule, LplAs succeeded in detecting homology to BPLs only if they first succeeded in bringing LipB sequences into the equation. The same was true of LipB sequences: they detected homology to BPLs only if they first detected homology to LplAs. Also, homology to BPLs was detected much more frequently in searches started with LplA sequences than with LipB sequences, which in general converged without even detecting homology to LplAs. Searches initiated with BPL sequences converged before detecting homology to any LipB or LplA sequence, although frequently members of the two types of LPLs had the closest e-values to (albeit higher than) that of 0.01 fixed as a threshold. Thus, detection of homology between LPLs and BPLs is sequence dependent, and results from the combination of PSI-BLAST iterative profile searches with the use of statistically similar intermediate sequences that increase the detection of homology to remote homologues that are not directly related by statistically significant similarity (Holm, 1998; Park et al., 1997). It is also interesting to remark that detection of homology was always restricted to members of the LpIA, LipB, and BPL families, and never reached any member of other protein families.

Detected sequence similarity between BPLs and LPLs spanned from the helix  $\alpha 4$  of the BPL module up to the region connecting the  $\beta 2$ - and  $\beta 3$ -strands, but secondary structure (SS) predictions helped to extend the similarity further to the N-terminus, up to the  $\beta 1$ -strand of BPLs. A multiple sequence alignment with representative LplA, LipB, and BPL sequences is shown in Figure 3, and the derived evolutionary tree is shown in Figure 4. Also displayed in Figure 3 are the SS predictions of the aligned LPL and BPL sequences, which support the detected homology at a structural level.

## Discussion

## Structure comparison between the LPL and BPL catalytic modules

The  $\alpha 3$ ,  $\beta 1$ ,  $\beta 2$ ,  $\beta 3$ ,  $\beta 5$ ,  $\beta 6$ , and  $\beta 7$  motifs of the BPL module are especially well defined in both LpLAs and LipBs (Fig. 3). Helix  $\alpha 1$  of the BPL module is substituted in LPLs by a predicted  $\beta$ -strand, and helix  $\alpha 2$  is predicted in LplAs but not in the LipB family (Fig. 3). An extra  $\beta$ -strand ( $\beta$ i-strand in Fig. 3) is predicted in the region connecting the  $\beta 2$ - and  $\beta 3$ -strands of LplAs and LipBs. This  $\beta$ i-strand is also predicted in BPLs, but it does not appear in the 3D structure of *E. coli* BPL (Fig. 2) because it is predicted in a region (residues 116–124) that was not visible on the electron density maps (Wilson et al., 1992). Interestingly, these observations, taken together, suggest that the region connecting the  $\beta 2$ and  $\beta 3$ -strands is not totally unstructured, but highly mobile. The  $\beta 4$ -strand of BPLs is predicted in the LplA family but not in LipBs. In the structure of *E. coli* BPL, the  $\beta 4$ -strand encompasses only three residues, and though it is predicted in the aligned BPLs, its signal is very weak (Fig. 3). Therefore, a  $\beta$ 4-strand may yet appear in an eventual 3D structure of a LipB enzyme. Finally, the  $\alpha$ 5-helix of the BPL module finds no equivalent in either LipBs or LplAs. Some LipB sequences end right after the predicted  $\alpha$ 4-helix, and no sequence similarity is found after that helix (this work, not shown). Amino acid sequence conservation between LplAs extends around 85 residues toward the C-terminus after the predicted helix  $\alpha$ 4 (not shown), but with no sequence similarity to either BPLs or LipBs. Moreover, SS predictions do show a  $\beta$ -strand after the  $\alpha$ 4-helix of LplAs (not shown). Additional helical content is strongly predicted in the insertion region connecting the  $\beta$ 7-strand and the  $\alpha$ 4-helix of LplAs (not shown).

Using the *E. coli* BPL coordinates as template and the multiple alignment of Figure 3, the models of the *E. coli* LplA and LipB enzymes were generated by homology modeling (Fig. 5) (see Materials and methods for details). Modeled 3D structures of both *E. coli* LPLs are restricted to their region of homology with *E. coli* BPL (from strand  $\beta$ 1 to helix  $\alpha$ 4), but SS predictions suggest (Fig. 3) that the eventual 3D structure of the catalytic module of these enzymes should also include an additional  $\beta$ -strand in place of the  $\alpha$ 1-helix of the BPL module. Also, a predicted helix that precedes the former  $\beta$ -strand, marking the beginning of the homology between LplAs and LipBs (not shown), might pack with their modeled catalytic modules. The LplA enzyme contains additional SS features beyond the  $\alpha$ 4-helix (not shown), which may also pack with its modeled catalytic module.

# Sequence comparison between the LPL and BPL catalytic modules

Amino acid sequence conservation between the catalytic modules of BPLs and LPLs is very low, and mainly affects residues that in the E. coli BPL enzyme are important for the scaffold of the structure, such as those contributing to the hydrophobic core (shown in gray in Fig. 3). Minimal amino acid sequence conservation is found in regions connecting the SS elements of the catalytic module, where long insertions can take place (Fig. 3). Despite the poor overall sequence similarity, a single lysine residue residue is strictly conserved in all BPL, LpIA, and LipB sequences (Fig. 3). In E. coli BPL, this lysine residue corresponds to Lys183, and its amino group binds to the carboxyl group at the end of the hydrogencarbon tail of biotin (Wilson et al., 1992). However, because the biotin binding site of E. coli BPL was located using biotinyl-lysine instead of free biotin (see Fig. 2 for comments), it follows that Lys183 would specifically bind to the carbonyl oxygen of the carboxyl group of biotin. Given the strict conservation of this lysine residue, we shall expect its function to be retained as well. Therefore, in LpIA and LipB enzymes, the equivalent lysine residue should in the same manner bind to the carbonyl oxygen at the end of the hydrogen-carbon tail of the lipoyl moiety. Full validation of this prediction will await the structure of LpLA and LipB, but clearly, the homology between BPLs and LPLs and the strict conservation of the lysine residue indicate that binding of the biotin and lipoyl moieties to their respective ligases is somewhat similar. In this context, it is interesting to point out that lipoic acid and biotin share some stereochemical properties (Green, 1975). Indeed, lipoic acid binds to avidin and antibiotin antibodies (Harmon, 1980; Yamamoto & Sekine, 1987), and conversely, biotin can, in principle, bind to antilipoic acid antibodies.

More structure-function relationships can be inferred from the homology of LPLs with *E. coli* BPL. In *E. coli* BPL, it is known that

several residues at the loop connecting the strands  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$  contribute to substrate binding (of both biotin and ATP) and/or catalysis (Wilson et al., 1992), which is consistent with their strong conservation among BPLs (Fig. 3). Patterns of conserved residues also appear in the equivalent loop of LplAs and LipBs (Fig. 3), which most likely are also relevant for catalysis and substrate binding in those enzymes. Moreover, differences between the three families in the patterns of residue conservation at the loop connecting the strands  $\beta$ 2 and  $\beta$ 3 should be associated with their substrate specificity and/or their differences in catalysis. The loop connecting the strands  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta$ 3 of *E. coli* BPL houses the sequence GRGRRG (Fig. 3), which has been postulated to be a consensus sequence for ATP binding (Wilson et al., 1992). In LplAs, no GRGRRG sequence motif is found, although according to the E. coli paradigm, they are expected to bind ATP (Morris et al., 1994, 1995). Thus, LplAs must have an alternative set of residues contributing to the binding of ATP, and some of them should be among the conserved residues at the loop connecting the  $\beta$ 2- and  $\beta$ 3-strands.

## The catalytic module of LPLs and BPLs: Evolutionary relationships

LPLs and BPLs should have evolved from a common ancestor because sequence similarity and SS predictions indicate that they contain a homologous catalytic module. According to the alignment of Figure 3 and the derived evolutionary tree (Fig. 4), LplA and LipB sequences are closer to each other than to BPLs. In fact, although sequence similarity among individual LpIA and LipB sequences can be statistically insignificant; overall, there is a significant overlap between the sequence space of LplAs and LipBs (sequence space is by definition the collection of all possible sequences, and the sequence space of a particular protein family is a subset containing sequences related by statistically significant sequence similarity, around 25% identity). Overlap between the sequence spaces of LplAs and LipBs may have indeed promoted some annotation mistakes, such as that of the SwissProt entry LIPB\_AERPE, which is not a LipB enzyme but a LplA (see alignment in Fig. 3). On the other hand, the BPL family seems to be evolutionarily equidistant from both families of LPLs, LplA, and LipB, as indicated also by the evolutionary tree in Figure 4.

In mammals, synthesis of the activated intermediate lipoyl-AMP has been reported to be catalyzed by a lipoate-activating enzyme (Tsunoda & Yasunobu, 1967), whereas the transference of the lipoic group from the activated intermediate to the relevant protein substrates is catalyzed by LPT (Fujiwara et al., 1997, 1999). LPTs differ from bacterial LplA in their inability to synthesize lipoyl-AMP from lipoic acid and MgATP (Fujiwara et al., 1994), but with regard to sequence similarity, the distinction between them is not that clear (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). In fact, the extent of the homology between LPTs and LplAs would suggest that the reported inability of mammalian LPTs to synthesize lipoyl-AMP from ATP and lipoic acid is due to technical problems and would also explain why no sequence has ever been deposited for any mammalian lipoate-activating enzyme.

### Distant evolutionary relationships

The core fold of the *E. coli* BPL comprising the seven  $\beta$ -strands is also found in the catalytic domain of asparagine synthetase (Na-katsu et al., 1998) and in the catalytic domain of Class II of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRS) (Cusack et al., 1990; Arty-

1BIA	α1		·····		β3	α3	-
BIRA_ECCL1/66-256 C1:115015 BIRA_BACSU/71-264 C1:773349 BIRA MACSU/71-264 C1:773349 BIRA PIRAB/1-178 C1:5457580 BIRA PIRAB/52-227 C1:5457589 D BIRA PARDK/1-179 C1:231637 BIRA PARDK/1-179 C1:231637 BIRA PARDK/1-188 C1:3322635 BIRA TAEPA/4-188 C1:3322635 BIRA TAEPA/4-188 C1:3322635 BIRA TAEPA/4-186 C1:3322635 BIRA TAEPA/4-186 C1:3226355 BIRA TAEPA/4-186 C1:3226355 BIRA TAEPA/4-1555 C1:2276356 BIRA LARATY/99-231 C1:1688072 BIRA LARATY/95-254 C1:1813424	LLNAKQI LGGLDG-GSV SEI AFGLKTEVMG-QAL DPOEVEVDTEVI G-HEIN NLGLKTSVI G-RTII VKI PDEPFPWELGVNYI LLNPCQI STALFP-YSII AVCLVPVRNPF-G-ASVU EYFKYLNVQNTI G-SLLI ELYAKLI NGCAFG-LPI SLFWNSII THRFG-RFLI EIYFGNLGTKCLG-KVII	VLPVIDSTNGYLLDRIGELK YQDVISSTQKTAHELANNAA CFDEVDSTNNVAKAENLE YFQEVASTNDYAKAENLE YCLKVPSTMDSAANAFGTY- NLARTDSTNAEALKLAPQLS IYQPIISTNEWILQNILSLK FAPKTASTMDEAASRAQGE YGEVYISTSTILNNKSLLS YAPVIBSTQTLLDKNYFFLD WSPRLSSTHDYVSHNFSELP FAEVTPTTMRLLDGLNFGTP	[0]         SGDACI         AEYGGA           [1]         EGTLVVADKGTA           [1]         EGTVVADKGTA           [0]         EGTVVADKGTA           [0]         EGTVAADRGTA           [0]         EGAVFCAGEVA           [1]         EGAVFCAGEVATA           [2]         MGLVAAARGTE           [3]         TGFTVLGAVGTA           [2]         MGLVAAARGTE	G R G G R G R K W F S P F G A N L Y G R G M S R V W H S G E G N G W G M G C H G K W S P W I S P G G I Y G M G L E R K W S P E G G I Y G R G R G R G W S P F G G I Y G R G R G R G W S P F G G I I G R G G G R K W G S E P G K N I G R G G G R K W G S E P G K N I G R G G G R K W G S E P G K N I G R G G G R K W G S E P G K N I G R G G G R K W G S E P G K N I G R G G G R K W G S E P G K N I G R G G G R W W S P Y G G I L G R G G G R W W S P Y G G A L S	LS M FWR LEQG	GLSLVIGIVMAEVLRKLGAD 'QLTLLAAVAVVQGIEEAAGI 'LLTLVAGVAVARTLREFSID- IVFIGALAVVETLREFSID- IVFTLANDIASLSERVSLR 'GLSFVAALALVDALGLAGGP :GLSLVIGLAIAEVLMV :CVGYAVALAYMAFLGGV 'FVQYLSMLAYCKAILSYAPG LIGYVVSLAVTEAVKDVCDK 'FVQHLMSVAVVEAVRSIPEY	K [0] Q [0] - [0] Y [2] A [2] A [2] - [0] F [4] Y [3] K [6] Q [3]
LELA ECCGL/11-216 CI:432634 LELA ECCGL/11-216 CI:432634 YQHM BACSU/37-141 CI:226243 YQHM BACSU/37-143 CI:685607 LELA MICCN/30-217 CI:2634887 LELA CLENN/33-213 CI:3289365 LELA CLENN/33-213 CI:32893965 LELA ACLENN/39-216 CI:3874964 LELA CLENN/37-225 CI:3874966 LETA HUMAN/58-243 CI:4586380 LET HUMAN/58-243 CI:4586380	- TGRVLFLWRNA DTWU DPEGOYLLFTYNO.PSI KKIPPYIRFYGWNPATLS - HGIAIARLWVNPDSI GEEIKVIYFWGNA NTW - NFCIINSGAK DS' - KEPIVFFWGNA NTW GKIPNTIRFLGFKPECW STAKHCLLYTNS - PSV AQNGEILLMWSNR - PAV - EGKPVLFLWRNS - PSV	II GRACIN PW KECKT TARMEED IG KNOT TIE EINTKY VEEN- VICY FONIK KEINFEAVHKY- VICY TSCVGREVNIEQARAE- VICA NOATYA EVNESVEKD- IG ISRILNOOVHISRAQAD- VICA NOATAFAEINLEAAQKD- IG YNGA VEQEVALEYVGRE- IG RNGA PW VEANKKCHDN IG RHGN PW QECNLNLMREE- VIG RHGN PW QECNLNLMREE-	101         - M V R L A R R            101         - G I I V V R            101         - G I V V R            101         - G V P V R            101         - G V P V R            101         - G V P V R            101             101             101             101             101             101             101             101             101             101             101             101	S G G G A V FH D LG - NT U L S G G G A V FH D LG - NT U P T G G R G V LH D QE L I S Q G G A V FH D LG - NT N F S G G A V FH D NG - NT L NT G G G T V FD D S N - T L - NT G G G A I Y FD EL - O L G - NV C - K S G G G V V FD D G - NN L - K S G G T V Y H D LG - NN L - R S G G T V Y H D LG - NN N - R S G G T V Y H D MG - NN N	FTFMAGKPEY FSFITODGDSH YSVIVSEEHPEM.PATVTE VSVVIPRRLGVDE VSVVIPRRLGVDE VSVMINSSEASY VSUMNSSEA	DKTISTSIVINAINAIGVS- INFKKTTEPVIQAIHOLGVS- AYRVISEGILQGFRNLGLDA AYALVISIILKTLHHLGIE- HAYEATTNVVKFLHHLGIE- HAYEATTNVVKFLHNSVGVP- IDYGKALGPIITYLNOKNIN- SHTENASINIQALRNLGVN- CRPKNLKFISDALNOGFSVK DRMENLKLIVRALNAVCPHL	- [0] - [0] Y [21] - [0] - [0] - [0] - [0] - [0] D [0] D [0]
LIPE SCC01/12-185 G1:2507595 LIPE MICCE/30-200 G1:6225619 LIPE MICCE/30-220 G1:3122358 LIPE MICCU/40-212 G1:61016509 LIPE SUTCU/40-212 G1:61016209 LIPE SUTA/31-210 G1:3122357 LIPE HELM/33-200 G1:1170791 LIPE CHCA/30-207 G1:3122356 LIPE SCH20/44-210 G1:3122357	- TLDE IWLVFHY.PVF DNF PEI VYLVFHS.EVY - GPDTLLLLGHP-PVY - GADTLLLLEHP-AVY MCREDGLMLLEHP-AVY MKPNPIVLTFGFE-PTY MKFNPIVLTFGFE-PTY - TGDEIWLVGHY.PVF RGKKLSIYLKHP-GYY .KPNPILITFGFE-PTY .QIPDTLLSLGHP-PTY	Image: Construction         Image: Construction           A G T N - Y KO E E LINY D A G AR - T E T HE RP I DG T L G G R - Y K KO I T DE OI A AYE G OG Q A - G K P E H L L OR SE L G HR - A NKEY I SF C S N T C S K R - I K K T M T P D Q I A AYE S L G R - E S S I N F T K G F L G K R - R T D H N L I P E SE L K	0         -D IPVI         QS           [0]         -D IPVI         QS           [0]         HGV PV VE T            [0]        PV VID T            [0]        PV VID T            [0]        PV VID T            [0]          PVID T           [0]          POS           [0]          POS           [0]            [0]	- D = G = G Q Y Y H G P G C Q Y - G = G G K F T F H G P G Q V Y D = G G K F T W H G P G Q V G - D = G G K I T W H G P G Q V G - E = G G Q Y T H G P G Q V G - E = G G Q Y T H G P G Q V - V = G Q U T Y H A P G Q V - D = G G Q Y T F H G P G Q V I - E = G G Q T T F H G P G Q V I - C G G G Q T T F H G P G Q V I - C G G G Q T T F H G P G Q I L A	Y V L N L KRK LGYPE Y I L N ALPN LGYPE Y I G AEP LDVVN Y I G AEP LDVVN Y I LO K FG LDVN Y I LO K FG N PAG Y L IO K RHK N N PAG Y L IO K KFG	LVTLLEQTVVNTLAELGIEA YIKNLEEWIINSLNYFGIKG IVVRRLEEALIKVCAELGLDT IVVRRLEESLIQVCADLGLHA YURQLEEVIIITLEKLDLQG LVSTIDMAAMNALKVVPKFQ LVTALEQTVVKTLAEVGIES INLEQLGKRILLLYKTKS LVSCIEGATIRTLKNTKMCD IVSRLEGATIATCKSFGIEK	- [0] - [0] - [0] - [0] G [8] - [0] D [8] - [0] A [0]
JPRED BPL JPRED LPLA JPRED LIPB JPRED ALL	εεεεεεεε εεεεεεεε β					никинининининининининининининининининин	-
1BIA		β6				0/4	
1BIX EIRA_ECOLI/66-256 GI:115015 BIRA_BACSU/1-264 GI:773449 BIRA_BITT/6-198 GI:2623050 BIRA_PTRAD/1-178 GI:2657580 BIRA_PTRAD/G-227 GI:5457580 BIRA_PTRAD/G-207 GI:5457580 BIRA_PTRAD/G-207 GI:5457580 BIRA_TARDA/G-108 GI:3322635 BIRA_TERD/4-180 GI:3322635 BIRA_TERD/4-180 GI:3322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:3322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:322635 BIRA_TERD/41-180 GI:322635	WIN KW PNG LYI 0	B6 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	AAQIVIEACIN MANRAV VRSVIICICI VNOOPN LEVVVVSVCIDNVDIS - EKVILCICLINNNKVD - KAVVCVCIN VNNKVD - KAVVCVCIN VNNKVD - KAVVCICVCIN SSS - EKVILCICIS - KAVVCCIN VSSS - KAVVCCIN VSSS - KAVVCCIN VSSS - SSS -	EESVVNQ GWITLQ [0] DFPDELK DIATSL [2] GATSMKQ ELGS - [0] RNF [0] AGAVEPG A TPPVS [2] TEISQPY A EVC - [0] PPELSHAC SLAQI [1] TTSLQTW   DILNE [7] TTSLNT V DEWNK [4] TTCLNAV L KGMA - [0] [1]	E A G I NLDRN TLAAML THE A A GE KI DRAGVI GHILLC E L KROLKSSE LI AR FLRN E I PMLNY FKT VKTLOSL A R LEMAS LEVACEI VI KY E T GHAY DPEE FLD LLAPA E I DPOVERGTLLPKLI GH G G ERCPDPFA LLPVLLDR D L LPAI KAEKLGA LYMN P R LEKFSFEKLLASV LNO P E SN LLKREE I LGAFFHK	CA FEKTORY AND WITH FEKTWR FKDG YLKFLESPGKI LORY MRERT - FARWQAQLDTY LYTELMIFEQN LYACYWAPPSI LEVILKQFINY FEKTFDLFMDQ LEVILKQFINY FEKTFDLFMDQ	
1873 FIRA BACSU/12-66 CI:115015 BTRA BACSU/12-66 CI:115015 BTRA BACSU/12-66 CI:1505 BTRA BACSU/12-66 CI:1505 BTRA PERAFIL-178 CI:545780 BTRA PERAFIC-179 CI:21667 BTRA PARDS/1-179 CI:21677 BTRA MARTA/62-28 CI:217657 BTRA MARTA/92-900 CI:6220060 BFL SCOUPS-127655 BFL ARATT/99-291 CI:1608071 BFL ARATT/99-291 CI:1608071 BFL ARATT/99-291 CI:1608071 BFL ARATT/99-291 CI:1608071 BFL ARATT/99-291 CI:1608071 BFL ARATT/99-291 CI:1608071 BFL ARATT/91-216 CI:432614 TOM BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 TOM BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 THEA BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 THEA BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 THEA BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 THEA BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 THEA BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 THEA BACSU/37-214 CI:2126147 LEA CUCM/39-215 CI:2176521 LEA CULDY/30-213 CI:23289365 LEA CULDY/39-235 CI:327464 LEA CULDY/37-225 CI:327464 LEA CULDY/37-225 CI:327464 LEF HOMAN/56-243 CI:42661880 LEF CULDY/39-245 CI:43661880 LEF CULDY/39-245 CI:43661880 LEF CULDY/39-245 CI:43661880 LEF CULDY/39-245 CI:45661880 LEF CULDY/39-245 CI:457644 LEF CULDY/39-245 LEF CULDY/39-245 LEF CULDY/39-	WIN KWP NG I YI 0 (0)           TDI KWP NG I LI N (0)           TDI KWP NG I LI N (0)           VGI KWP NG I LI N (0)           VGI KWP NG I LI N (0)           NYGAVEGNULFUN - (0)           CVFNK NG NG LI FD - (0)           CVFNK NG NG LI FD - (0)           CVFNK NG NG LI FD - (0)           VGI KWP NG VI LFD - (0)           VGI KWP NG VI CALSP [26]           VGI KWP NG LYV N - (0)           AFI KWP NG VYV N - (0)           AE ASGR NG LYV KT - (0)           AE ASGR NG LYV KT - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YV G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           TFSI RE NG YU G - (0)           AGFHGRNG LE C - (0)           YG XTKRFULLLOG (0) <th>B6 G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G</th> <th>AAGIYI GAINAANAHY YRSYII GAINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAAANA - KAYYGGINAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAAA GRIFS GILAAAAA GRIFS GILAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA</th> <th>EESVVNQ GWITLQ       [0]         DFPDELK DIATSL       [2]         GATSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAFSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAFSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAFSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAGAVEPGA TPPVS       [2]         TEISQPY AEVC       [0]         TGISQPY AEVC       [0]         TTSLATLY DEWNK       [1]         TTSL NTV UEWNK       [4]         TTCLNAV LKGMA       [0]         RLANYLN PDKKK       [20]         RIARLVI PDKKK       [20]         RIARLVI PDKKK       [20]         RULAYUN VDKTK       [21]         RWNPKYLV VDKKK       [22]         RULAYUN VDKKK       [21]         RULAYUN VDKKK       [22]         WLSSQU PVEK       [22]         YLSKSLK SPYAG       [20]         FLSSLLK SPYQG       [20]</th> <th>E A GINLDRNTL AAMLI RE A A GE KI DRAGVIGH ILL E L KROIKSSEI AR FERN E I PMINVFKIVKTLOSL E I DROVENSTLIVKTLOSL I DPOVENGTLLPKI OH G GERCPDPFALLPVLLOH G GERCPDPFALLPVLLOH D L LPAIKAEKI GALVMINN P E SNILKREE I LGAFFHK A E LKPITRADVI A AVINN P E SNILKREE I LGAFFHK  PGITHE QYCEAITEA  PGITHE QYCEAITEA  PGITHE QYCEAITEA  PGITHE QYCEAITEA  PSRDFLEKNVOF  PSRDFLEKNVOF  PSRDFLEKNVOF  PSRDFLEKNVOF  PSRDFLEKIKAS D I NIDIDLSTFINDVYSS  ONNIELERIKAS  DNALVECSLAVIEN</th> <th>04 I RAALELFEQE FEKRYRDYMTH FEETYNSFKDG Y LKFLESPGKI LORYNNERET - FARWQAQLDTY LYTALNIFEQN LYACVMAPPSI LEVILKQFINY FDRYHRLLLEE FEKFFDLFMDQ LEKLIGEFEV- TFAHYGEFEV- SSLLFTWEE GSLLFTWEE SSLLFTWEE TTETEHAET SSLLFTWEE YWNEKIKW LKEELGEP- TTKPLSKP YAKLFESH YATSHGIDN</th> <th></th>	B6 G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	AAGIYI GAINAANAHY YRSYII GAINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAANAHY YRSYII GGINAAANA - KAYYGGINAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GALYYGGINAAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAAA GAILYYGGINAAAAA GRIFS GILAAAAA GRIFS GILAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	EESVVNQ GWITLQ       [0]         DFPDELK DIATSL       [2]         GATSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAFSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAFSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAFSMKQ ELGS       [0]         RAGAVEPGA TPPVS       [2]         TEISQPY AEVC       [0]         TGISQPY AEVC       [0]         TTSLATLY DEWNK       [1]         TTSL NTV UEWNK       [4]         TTCLNAV LKGMA       [0]         RLANYLN PDKKK       [20]         RIARLVI PDKKK       [20]         RIARLVI PDKKK       [20]         RULAYUN VDKTK       [21]         RWNPKYLV VDKKK       [22]         RULAYUN VDKKK       [21]         RULAYUN VDKKK       [22]         WLSSQU PVEK       [22]         YLSKSLK SPYAG       [20]         FLSSLLK SPYQG       [20]	E A GINLDRNTL AAMLI RE A A GE KI DRAGVIGH ILL E L KROIKSSEI AR FERN E I PMINVFKIVKTLOSL E I DROVENSTLIVKTLOSL I DPOVENGTLLPKI OH G GERCPDPFALLPVLLOH G GERCPDPFALLPVLLOH D L LPAIKAEKI GALVMINN P E SNILKREE I LGAFFHK A E LKPITRADVI A AVINN P E SNILKREE I LGAFFHK PGITHE QYCEAITEA PGITHE QYCEAITEA PGITHE QYCEAITEA PGITHE QYCEAITEA PSRDFLEKNVOF PSRDFLEKNVOF PSRDFLEKNVOF PSRDFLEKNVOF PSRDFLEKIKAS D I NIDIDLSTFINDVYSS ONNIELERIKAS DNALVECSLAVIEN	04 I RAALELFEQE FEKRYRDYMTH FEETYNSFKDG Y LKFLESPGKI LORYNNERET - FARWQAQLDTY LYTALNIFEQN LYACVMAPPSI LEVILKQFINY FDRYHRLLLEE FEKFFDLFMDQ LEKLIGEFEV- TFAHYGEFEV- SSLLFTWEE GSLLFTWEE SSLLFTWEE TTETEHAET SSLLFTWEE YWNEKIKW LKEELGEP- TTKPLSKP YAKLFESH YATSHGIDN	
1117 1117	W         H	B6 	AAQ IV I GALIN WANNEY VRSVIICIGU VNGOPN LEVVVVVVGIDTNVDIS -EKVILGIGU VNNKUS -EKVILGIGU VNNKUS -KAVVGICVRLAGAPD USAVAVGICVRLAGAPD -KAVVGICVRLAGAPO -CALLYGICINVSLSKG -GALLYGICINVSLSKG -GALLYGICINVSNB -FVSUCCINVSNB	EESVVNQGWITLQ       [0]         DFPDDELKDIATSL       [2]         GATSMKQELGS       [0]         RMF       [0]         AGAVEPGATPPVS       [2]         TSLOTWIDLNE       [7]         TSLOTWIDLNE       [7]         TVSLNTLVDEWNK       [0]         TVSLNTLVDEWNK       [0]         TVSLNTLVDEWNK       [0]         TKLANVLNPDKKK       [2]         RLANVLNPDCKK       [20]         KLFDLFLYPSER       [20]         KLKYLNVUDEKK       [20]         KLSVYLPIPQQQ       [20]         FLSSLKSPYLPIPQQQ       [20]         FLSSLKSPYLPIPQQG       [20]         FLSSLKSPYGG       [20]         FLSSLKSPYGG       [20]         FLSSLKSPYQG       [20]         FLSSLKSPYQG       [11]         AFTAIVPGG       [11]         AFTAIVPGG       [11]         FLSSLKSPYQG       [12]         PHNNSFEWCG       [12]         YMMSFEWCG       [12]	E A GINLDRNT AAMLIRE A A GEKIDRAGVIGHILLO E L KROIKSSEIJAFF E I DROKKSSEIJAFF E I DROKKSSEIJAFF G GERCFOPFALLPVLOR G GERCFOPFALLPVLOR G GERCFOPFALLPVLOR D L LPALKAEKIOALVINN P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO S E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKREKIJASVINO P E SNLLKAVINO P E SNLLKAVINO P E SNLLKAVINO P E SNLLKAVINO P E SNLLKAVINO P E SNLLKAVINO P D SNLVAVINO P SNL V V V SVL SVL F P DAVINO S NL SVL V V V V V V V V V V V V V V P D SS V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	04 L RAALELFEQE FEKTYRDYNTH FEETYNSFKDG Y LKFLESFGKI L ORYNMRERT - FARWQAQLDTY Y TRLNIFEQN LYALGFINY FDNYHRLLLEE FEKFFDLFMDQ LEKLIKEFGDK FFAHYGERV - FETGLNIHL - Y KHALGFE -	

miuk et al., 1994) (Fig. 6). In addition, Class II of aaRSs and asparagine synthetase have a two-step reaction mechanism analogous to that of BPL. Thus, both aaRSs and asparagine first catalyze the ATP-dependent formation of an aminoacyl-AMP intermediate (aspartyl-AMP in the case of asparagine synthetase), and then transfer the activated aminoacyl moiety to an acceptor tRNA or ammonia, respectively (Cusack, 1993; Richards & Schuster, 1998). Structural and mechanistic resemblance of Class II of aaRSs, asparagine synthetases, and BPLs has already led to the suggestion of an evolutionary relationship between them (Artymiuk et al., 1994), and to the speculation that the common ancestor was an ATP-binding domain able to activate the carboxyl group of small metabolites (S. Cusak in reply to Artymiuk et al., 1994). LplAs catalyze the synthesis of the activated intermediate lipoyl-AMP from ATP and lipoic acid, and therefore, fit into the above evolutionary scenario. The LipB enzyme, however, appears to be an exception. Jordan and Cronan (1997) have shown that lipoylation catalyzed by E. coli LipB proceeds using lipoyl-acyl carrier protein (lipoyl-ACP) as the donor of lipoic acid in a reaction that does not require ATP. Jordan and Cronan (1997) have also argued that lipoyl acid synthesis may occur via ACP-bound intermediates, the first of which (octanoyl-ACP) is produced by the fatty acid synthetic pathway. ACPs are small proteins (40-70 residues) that carry acyl groups via thioester linkage to the 4'-phosphopantetheine sulfhydryl group and transfer those acyl groups in several synthetic pathways (Jordan & Cronan, 1997). Therefore, it seems that the LipB enzyme may have obviated the need for ATP by using lipoyl-ACP as an alternative activated form of lipoic acid. Given this evidence, prior speculations about the original function of the common ancestor are cast into doubt and, although they may still be correct if we consider that the LipB family simply lost the postulated ability to use ATP in the course of evolution, the mechanistic feature common to all these proteins is indeed the transferring of a metabolite with an activated carbonyl group to a second metabolite.

Despite their putative evolutionary relationship, sequence spaces of Class II of aaRSs and asparagine synthetase do not overlap with those of BPLs and LPLs (this work). This, together with the fact that folds of proteins tend to recur in nature (Holm & Sander, 1996), suggests that more protein sequences and families containing the seven  $\beta$ -strand core of BPL remain to be discovered. Therefore, an ensemble of BPL, LPL, Class II of aaRS, and asparagine



**Fig. 4.** LPL and BPL evolutionary tree. BPL, LplA, and LipB branches are shown with different colors: LplA in blue, LipB in red, and BPL in green. Observe how human LPT and bovine LPT cluster together with the rest of LplAs. The wrongly annotated LipB\_AERPE sequence (see text) is marked with an asterisk.

synthetase sequences allied with Hidden Markof Models (Hughey & Krogh, 1996) searches should be of great value in detecting new related protein sequences that may eventually link the sequence space gap between these protein families (Holm, 1998).

Fig. 3 (facing page). Multiple sequence alignment of representative sequences of the BPL, LpIA, and LipB families. Sequences are named following the SwissProt nomenclature (protein name\_organism), and are identified by Genebank GI accession numbers. Only the region of homology between the three families of enzymes is shown. Extent of the aligned region is indicated for each sequence. Helix  $\alpha 1$  of BPLs is also shown in the alignment; although in LPLs, it is replaced by a predicted  $\beta$ -strand. Insertion regions with no amino acid sequence similarity do not appear in the alignment, and the number of residues not shown is indicated in square brackets for each sequence. Sequences are arranged by families, and SS predictions for each family are shown under the aligned sequences (JPRED BPL, JPRED LPLA, and JPRED LIPB). A consensus prediction for all aligned sequences is also given (JPRED ALL). "E" is for  $\beta$ -strands and "H" for  $\alpha$ -helices. SS motifs of the crystal structure of the *E. coli* BPL (PDB entry 1bia) are shown above its amino acid sequence. Cylinders are used to represent  $\alpha$ -helices and arrows for  $\beta$ -strands. Residues that in the crystal structure of E. coli BPL contributed to the binding of biotinyl moiety of biocytin are indicated by an inverted triangle (♥). Shown framed is the loop region connecting the strands  $\beta^2$  and  $\beta^3$ . This loop is partially unstructured or highly mobile in E. coli BPL (residues 116–124) and contributes to the binding of biotin and ATP (Wilson et al., 1992). Positions with identical residues or with a single residue change are shadowed in blue in the LpIA family, in red in the LipB family, and in green in the BPL family. Positions occupied with residues that are identical in two families are shadowed in black. The Lys residue, strictly conserved in the three families, is shown in vellow font and shadowed in black. Positions shadowed in gray are occupied by hydrophobic residues in the three families, and in E. coli BPL contribute to the hydrophobic core of the structure (Wilson et al., 1992). The putative GRGRRG ATP binding sequence at the loop connecting the strands  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  of *E. coli* BPL is indicated with a red rectangle above the sequence. Note that the alignment reveals that the consensus ATP binding sequence in BPLs is GRGR rather than GRGRRG. Also note that the sequence in the SwissProt entry LIPB\_AERPE belongs to the LplA family but not to the LipB family.



**Fig. 5.** Molecular models of LPLs. **A:** Ribbon drawing of the catalytic module of *E. coli* LplA. **B:** Ribbon drawing of the catalytic module of *E. coli* LipB. Both models were generated by homology modeling (see Materials and methods) using the coordinates of the BPL module from *E. coli* BirA as a template. Helix  $\alpha^2$  was not modeled in the LipB enzyme because the SS algorithms did not predict it in LipB enzymes. Strand  $\beta^4$  was not predicted in LipB enzymes but still was modeled for reasons explained in the text. Loops connecting the secondary structure elements  $\beta^2 - \beta^3$ ,  $\beta^3 - \alpha^3$ ,  $\beta^6 - \beta^7$ , and  $\beta^7 - \alpha^4$  are not expected to be modeled properly because they are not visible in the *E. coli* BPL template (Wilson et al., 1992). Moreover, as discussed in the text, predictions indicate that additional SS features might appear in those loops.

## Conclusions

BPLs and LPLs catalyze the post-translational attachment of the relevant biotin and lipoic acid moieties to a specific lysine residue located at the tip of the  $\beta$ -turn of their structurally homologous protein substrates, the biotinyl and lipoyl domains, respectively (Perham & Reche, 1998). The extraordinary ability of BPLs and LPLs to distinguish between their homologous substrates was thought to be facilitated if, as sequence similarity apparently indicated, BPLs and LPLs were structurally different enzymes (Reche & Perham, 1999). However, this work supports that LPLs and BPLs contain a homologous catalytic domain. This unexpected relationship is of special interest because no 3D structure is available for any LPL, not are there any structure-function studies. Thus, the homology between LPLs and E. coli BPL, whose structure is known (Wilson et al., 1992), has opened the possibility of identifying residues and regions that might be involved in substrate binding and/or catalysis in LPLs, most notably represented by a strictly conserved Lys residue that is expected to bind to the carboxycarbonyl group of the lipoyl moiety.

## Materials and methods

#### Database searches and sequence alignments

Sequence similarity searches were carried out using the PSI-BLAST program (Altschul et al., 1997) on the NR database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Briefly, this program constructs a position-dependent weight matrix (profile) from multiple alignments of BLAST hits that are above the expectation value (e-value) and then iterates the search using this evolving profile as query. PSI-BLAST was run to convergence, with the e-value

0.01 used as the cutoff, and a Blosum 45 matrix. Multiple sequence alignments for the LpIA and LipB subfamilies were constructed with the program ClustalX1.8 and edited manually to adjust PSI-BLAST local alignments. BPL multiple sequence alignment was initially obtained from the PFAM database (www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Pfam/) and then corrected manually, guided by the structure of *E. coli* BPL to remove gaps occurring in secondary structure elements. LpIA, LipB, and BPL subfamilies were aligned with each other with the program ClustalX1.8 used under the profile mode, and the resulting alignment was adjusted to match PSI-BLAST local alignments and secondary structure predictions.

## Other procedures

Protein secondary structure predictions were carried out on the JPRED server (http://jura.ebi.ac.uk:8888/), which gives a consensus secondary structure prediction based on different algorithms. Predictions were obtained using multiple sequence alignments as input. Evolutionary trees were obtained from alignments using the Neighbor-joining (Firestine et al., 1996) method implemented in the ClustalX1.8 program. Molecular 3D models of the E. coli LipB and LplA enzymes were obtained by means of homology modeling, using the Modeller package (Sâli & Blundell, 1993) and the coordinates of the BPL module of E. coli BirA (Wilson et al., 1992). The alignment between template and the relevant sequences was obtained as indicated elsewhere. Models were optimized using the command OPTIMIZE of the Modeller package. Ribbon representations of the structures were generated using MOLSCRIPT (Kraulis, 1991), followed by image rendering with Raster3D (Merritt & Murphy, 1994). Schematic topologies of 3D protein structures were obtained from the Atlas of Protein Topology Cartoons (http://tops.ebi.ac.uk/tops/ AtlasHTML.html) (Westhead et al., 1999).



Fig. 6. Cartoon topology representations of proteins with the BPL fold. A: Catalytic domain of *E. coli* BirA. B: Catalytic domain of the *Thermus* thermophilus histidyl-tRNA synthetase, as a representative structure of the catalytic domain of Class II of aaRSs. C: Catalytic domain of *E. coli* asparagine synthetase. Triangles are used to represent  $\beta$ -strands and circles for helices. The structures of the catalytic domain of Class II of aaRSs and asparagine synthetase superimpose at a resolution of  $\sim 2.0$  Å with the core fold of *E. coli* BPL that comprises the seven  $\beta$ -strands. Helices  $\alpha 2$  and  $\alpha 3$  of *E. coli* BPL find also equivalent helices in the catalytic domain of Class II of class II of aaRSs and asparagine synthetase, although they superimpose less well in three dimensions. Superimposed structures and derived alignments can be downloaded from several Web-based structural databases (e.g., FSSP, http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/dali/fssp/ or MMDB http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Structure/). SS motifs that are equivalents in the three structures are filled in gray.

### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to acknowledge Dr. A. Sâli for permission to use the program modeller; Dr. J. Fernando Bazan for support and comments; Drs. Gerard Zurawski and Robert Kastelein for corrections on the manuscript; and Dr. Dovie R. Wylie for editorial assistance. Research at DNAX is supported by Schering-Plough.

#### References

- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25:3389–3402.
- Artymiuk PJ, Rice DW, Poirrette AR, Willet P. 1994. A tale of two synthetases. Nat Struct Biol 11:758–760.
- Barker DF, Campbell AM. 1981. Genetic and biochemical characterization of the *birA* gene and its product: Evidence for a direct role of biotin holoenzyme synthetase in repression of the biotin operon in *Escherichia coli*. J Mol Biol 146:469–492.
- Buoncristiani MR, Howard PK, Otsuka AJ. 1986. DNA-binding and enzymatic

domains of the bifunctional biotin operon repressor (BirA) of *Escherichia* coli. Gene 44:255–661.

- Chapman-Smith A, Cronan JE Jr. 1999. The enzymatic biotinylation of proteins: A post-translational modification of exceptional specificity. *Trends Biochem Sci* 24:359–363.
- Cronan JE Jr. 1989. The *E. coli bio* operon: Transcriptional repression by an essential protein modification enzyme. *Cell* 58:427–428.
- Cusack S. 1993. Sequence, structure and evolutionary relationships between class 2 aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases: An update. *Biochimie* 75:1077–1081.
- Cusack S, Berthet-Colominas C, Hartlein M, Nassar N, Leberman R. 1990. A second class of synthetase structure revealed by X-ray analysis of *Escherichia coli* seryl-tRNA synthetase at 2.5 Å. *Nature* 347:249–255.
- Firestine SM, Nixon AE, Benkovic SJ. 1996. Threading your way to protein function. *Chem Biol* 3:779–783.
- Fujiwara K, Okamura-Ikeda K, Motokawa Y. 1994. Purification and characterization of lipoyl-AMP:N<sup>ε</sup>-lysine lipoyltransferase from bovine liver mitochondria. J Biol Chem 269:16605–16609.
- Fujiwara K, Okamura-Ikeda K, Motokawa Y. 1997. Cloning and expression of a cDNA encoding bovine Lipoyltransferase. J Biol Chem 272:31974–31978.
- Fujiwara K, Suzuki M, Okumachi Y, Okamura-Ikeda K, Fujiwara T, Takahashi E, Motokawa Y. 1999. Molecular cloning, structural characterization and chromosomal localization of human lipoyltransferase gene. *Eur J Biochem* 260:761–767.
- Green NM. 1975. Avidin. Adv Protein Chem 29:85-133.

Jordan SW, Cronan JE Jr. 1997. A new metabolic link. The acyl carrier protein of lipid synthesis donates lipoic acid to the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex in *Escherichia coli* and mitochondria. J Biol Chem 272:17903–17906.

- Harmon FR. 1980. Purification of antibodies against biotin of lipoic acid-Sepharose. Anal Biochem 103:58–63.
- Holm L. 1998. Unification of protein families. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 8:372–379.
   Holm L, Sander C. 1996. Mapping the protein universe. *Science* 273:595–603.
   Hughey R, Krogh A. 1996. Hidden Markov models for sequence analysis:
- Extension and analysis of the basic method. *Comput Appl Biosci* 12:95–107. Knowles JR. 1989. The mechanism of biotin-dependent enzymes. *Annu Rev*
- Biochem 58:195–221. Kraulis PJ. 1991. MOLSCRIPT: A program to produce both detailed and schematic plots of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr 24:946–950.
- Merritt EA, Murphy MEP. 1994. Raster3D Version 2.0: A program for photorealistic molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D50:869–873.
- Morris TW, Reed KE, Cronan JE Jr. 1994. Identification of the gene encoding lipoate-protein ligase A of *Escherichia coli*. Molecular cloning and characterization of the *lplA* gene and gene product. J Biol Chem 269:16091–16100.
- Morris TW, Reed KE, Cronan JE Jr. 1995. Lipoic acid metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. The *LplA* and *LipB* genes define redundant pathways for ligation of lipoyl groups to apoprotein. J Bacteriol 177:1–10.
- Nakatsu T, Kato H, Oda J. 1998. Crystal structure of asparagine synthetase reveals a close evolutionary relationship to class II aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase. Nat Struct Biol 5:15–19.
- Park J, Teichmann SA, Hubbard T, Clothia C. 1997. Intermediate sequences increase the detection of homology between sequences. J Mol Biol 273: 349–353.
- Perham RN. 1991. Domains, motifs and linkers in 2-oxo acid dehydrogenase multienzyme complexes: A paradigm in the design of a multifunctional protein. *Biochemistry* 30:8501–8512.
- Perham RN, Reche PA. 1998. Swinging arms in multifunctional enzymes and the specificity of post-translational modification. *Biochem Soc Trans* 26: 299–303.
- Reche P, Perham RN. 1999. Structure and selectivity in post-translational modification: Attaching the biotinyl-lysine and lipoyl-lysine swinging arms in multifunctional enzymes. *EMBO J* 18:2673–2682.
- Richards NG, Schuster SM. 1998. Mechanistic issues in asparagine synthetase catalysis. Adv Enzymol Relat Areas Mol Biol 72:145–198.
- Sâli A, Blundell TL. 1993. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. J Mol Biol 234:779–815.
- Tsunoda JN, Yasunobu KT. 1967. Mammalian lipoic acid activating enzyme. Arch Biochem Biophys 118:395–401.
- Westhead DR, Slidel TW, Flores TP, Thornton JM. 1999. Protein structural topology: Automated analysis and diagrammatic representation. *Protein Sci* 4:897–904.
- Wilson KP, Shewchuk LM, Brennan RG, Otsuka AJ, Matthews BW. 1992. *Escherichia coli* biotin holoenzyme synthase/*bio* repressor crystal structure delineates the biotin- and DNA-binding domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 89:9257–9261.
- Wood HG, Harmon FR, Wuhr B, Hubner K, Lynen F. 1980. Comparison of the biotination of apotranscarboxylase and its aposubunits. Is assembly essential for biotination? J Biol Chem 255:7397–7409.
- Yamamoto K, Sekine T. 1987. Effect of avidin binding to SH1 on the interface between subfragment-1 and F-actin. J Biochem 101:519–523.